Ingberg, Todd

From:Ingberg, ToddSent:Wednesday, July 09, 2008 10:35 AM

To: 'idht@yahoo.com'

Cc: Bullock, Lewis; Forte, Darryl

Subject: FW: 10/709,501

Attachments: LegalSignatureMissing.pdf

Dear Igor Voln;

Your response of March 21, 2008 was missing the slashes around your name. The slashes which make it a legal signature. See the PDF file attachment with Darryl Forte's note on it.

The Office responded April 3, 2008 and the record does not show you responded to correct this deficiency.

The Notice of Non Complience the Clerk sent to you idicates your response period can be extended (Rule 136A response to non final).

The Notice was sent out April 3, 2008. The due date was May 3, 2008.

You can extend the response period by paying an extension fee to the Commissioner of Patents same as your prior fee payments.

You response correcting the missing signature. Your response must be received by August 3, 2008. A three month extension fee of \$525.00 (if received by 8/3/08) must be paid.

Please indicate in your correspondence what the payment is for (i.e. Three must extension fee in response to correspondence of April 3, 2008).

There is a limit to how long you can extend a response period for before your case is abandoned by law.

Please resubmit your entire response with corrections with the fee to the Office and keep the receipt for your records.

I can speak with you but also my SPE and the Supervisor of the Clerks can speak with you.

My Supervisor - Lewis Bullock 571 272-3759 Supervisor of Clerks - Dattyl Forte 571 272-3580

Thank You Todd Ingberg Primary Examiner 571 272-3723

From: Bullock, Lewis Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 12:02 PM To: Ingberg, Todd Subject: RE: 10/709,501

You can talk to Darryl, but my guess of the situation is that he's mistaken the fax / electronic receipt of receiving the filing as a determination of compliance. That electronic receipt is like a fax transmission of OK, it still must be reviewed to determine if its includes the required information thereby being a proper request. All the receipt means is that it was received, not reviewed. The documents submitted March 21st are not properly signed as recognized by the U.S.P.T.O. If he wants further clarification, he can contact Darryl or myself.

From: Ingberg, Todd

7/9/2008

Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 11:10 AM To: Bullock, Lewis Subject: FW: 10/709,501

My Pro Se case has an issue. Who is the best person for me to talk to?

From: JW [mailto:idht@yahoo.com] Sent: Tue 7/8/2008 5:43 AM To: Ingberg, Todd Subject: Re: 10/709,501

Yes, that means that I did submit the document ELECTRONICALLY. Like I always did.

AND you know it,

because I did send you the copy right after the submission as a PDF file.

What was the reason the document was submitted on March 21st?

The slashes! Why did the office sent me the letter of complience after I submitted the properly signed

document electronically? It is a very interesting question.

Apparently, your electronic submission system has not delivered the documents property.

So, I suggest, that yes, in this situation, this is you who are supposed to answer the question:

I don't see a resubmission with a proper signature in the file. Do you? If not, The April 3rd deadline is still running. It's extendable

I emailed you the properly signed document on the date of submission and notified you about the submission.

So, I think, you are supposed to see a proper resubmission. Do not you?

If not, i will email to the USPTO management and ask about their opinion on the subject.

I have my own business to take care of and do not have time to deal with idiosyncrasies of your patent

submission system. I am a small entity, but I still have some respect to myself. ----- Original Message ----

From: "Ingberg, Todd" <Todd.Ingberg@USPTO.GOV>

To: JW <idht@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 3, 2008 12:16:14 PM

Subject: RE: 10/709,501

Your Electronic Acknowledgement Receipt appears to be dated March 21, 2008 The Office sent a notice of non compliance on April 3, 2008 in response to your March 21, 2008 submission because of the lack of signature. The need for slashes on both sides.

There is not record that you responded to this notice in the record. You can produce a Receipt showing you responded to the Notice. If you do not have one you need to to pay fees to extend your response time. Thank You /Todd Ingberg/ (type your signature like this with the slashes) Primary Examiner

From: JW [mailto:idht@yahoo.com] Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 3:26 PM To: Ingberg, Todd Subject: Re: 10/709,501

So, your reply is what? I have emailed you after the resubmission,

including the receipt and the properly signed document, did you let your colleague know?

----- Original Message ----From: "Ingberg, Todd" <Todd.Ingberg@USPTO.GOV> To: idht@yahoo.com Sent: Wednesday, July 2, 2008 2:34:03 AM Subject: 10/709,501

Your resubmission is not showing. You must resubmit it to the formal channel and pay an extention fee. The following was provided to me from a resource inside the Office

RESPONSE TO MY QUESTION - About the status of your case.

I don't see a resubmission with a proper signature in the file. Do you? If not, The April 3rd deadline is still running. It's extendable.

Here are the fees from the MPEP.

1.17 Patent application and reexamination processing fees.

(a) Extension fees pursuant to § 1.136(a): (1) For reply within first month: By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))...... \$60.00 By other than a small entity...... \$120.00 (2) For reply within second month: By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))...... \$230.00 By other than a small entity...... \$460.00 (3) For reply within third month: By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))...... \$525.00 By other than a small entity...... \$1,050.00 (4) For reply within fourth month: By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))...... \$820.00 By other than a small entity...... \$1,640.00

Todd Ingberg

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193

Appl. Number: 10/709,501 Applicant/Inventor: Igor Voln Filing Date: 5/10/2004 Art Unit: 2193 Examiner: Todd Ingberg Customer number: 000040796

Ref#3037-1830 Serial# 10/709501 Publication us-2005-0256891-a1 Publication date 11/17/2005 PUB. APP. NO.20050256891

Commissioner for patents PO BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 **Confirmation No: 3500**

ENTER IN RECORD

From: Igor Voln 2464 Prince Edward St. 301 Honolulu HI 96815

Phone: (808) 388-2210 Fax: (702) 993-5851 Email: idht@yahoo.com

AMENDMENT:

Sir.

In response to the office action as of December 12, 2007 please amend the above identified application as the following:

Amendments to the claims:

Attached listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of application.

Listing of claims:

Claims 1-16 have been canceled and replaced with claims 17-37 (see pages 2-12 of this document)

Respectfully submitted by: Igor Voln

TODĎ INGBERG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

8-2210 Attachment to 7/9/08 851 o.com email cegal Signature Missing. PDF lgor Voln

From document received on 3/21/08. Improper signature No slastes are present. Phone: (808) 388-2210 Fax: (702) 993-5851 Email: idht@yahoo.com tegal Signature Missing. PDF Enter IN RECORD ENTER IN RECORD